



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**INFLUENCE OF SOIL PROPERTIES ON METHANE PRODUCTION  
POTENTIAL FROM WETLAND RICE FIELD IN JAVA**

**PRIHASTO SETYANTO**

**FP 2000 9**

**INFLUENCE OF SOIL PROPERTIES ON METHANE PRODUCTION  
POTENTIAL FROM WETLAND RICE FIELD IN JAVA**

**PRIHASTO SETYANTO**

**MASTER OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE  
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**2000**



**INFLUENCE OF SOIL PROPERTIES ON METHANE PRODUCTION  
POTENTIAL FROM WETLAND RICE FIELD IN JAVA**

**By**

**PRIHASTO SETYANTO**

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the  
Degree of Master of Agricultural Science in the Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

**October 2000**



Abstract of the thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in  
fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Agricultural Science

**INFLUENCE OF SOIL PROPERTIES ON METHANE PRODUCTION  
POTENTIAL FROM WETLAND RICE FIELD IN JAVA**

**By**

**PRIHASTO SETYANTO**

**October 2000**

**Chairman : Dr. Rosenani Abu Bakar**

**Faculty : Agriculture**

This study was conducted with the main objective of studying the emission and production potential of methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) from different soil types of wetland rice field and determining the controlling soil characteristics affecting methane production. The specific objectives are (i) to determine the best time in the day for manual sampling of CH<sub>4</sub> gas in the field, (ii) to measure CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes and total emission from three rice fields under field conditions, during the wet and dry seasons, and (iii) to determine the ability of some soils in Java to produce methane from its indigenous and added C source.

Two experiments were conducted. The first was a field experiment. Three top soils, classified as brown Regosol, red Latosol and dark brown Alluvial, were placed in a wooden micro-plots lined with plastic sheets and planted with IR 64-rice variety. The soils received continuous irrigation with 5cm ponding above the soil throughout the growing season. A (1m x 1m x 1m) plexi-glass chamber was placed on each of the micro-plots to measure daily CH<sub>4</sub> flux. The experiment was conducted

for two seasons i.e. dry and wet seasons. The Eh and pH changes were recorded regularly every four days.

Results of the experiment show that the emission of methane from the soils reached the highest peak at 40 days after transplanting (primordial stage). The emissions declined after they reached the early flowering stage, and drops to the lowest level until the plots were drained. There were no significant differences in grain yield between the three soils from two seasons of observation. Dark brown Alluvial (156.1 kg CH<sub>4</sub>/ha/year) produced the highest emission followed by brown Regosol (142.2 kg CH<sub>4</sub>/ha/year) and red Latosol (39.6 kg CH<sub>4</sub>/ha/year).

Reducing CH<sub>4</sub> emissions while maintaining or enhancing yield requires information on CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes from a wide range of ecosystems and climatic zones. An optimal less-intensive sampling strategy with the use of manually operated chamber to measure daily CH<sub>4</sub> flux is required. Result from this study suggests that gas sampling using the chamber at 1100 h is the best time to represent the daily flux variation observed throughout the growing season.

The second study involved a laboratory experiment to determine the CH<sub>4</sub> production potential of 11 different rice soils. The soils were incubated in submerged condition for 52 days. Methane gas samples were taken every four days, and pH and Eh of the soils were also recorded. Soil physical and chemical properties were determined before incubation (particle size distribution, organic matter, bulk density, total N, total P, available and exchangeable K, Ca, and Mg, total and available SO<sub>4</sub>, total and available Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, total Cu, and total and available MnO<sub>2</sub>).

Results from this experiment show that soils categorized as dark-gray Grumosol gave the highest CH<sub>4</sub> production, while brown-grayish Grumosol gave the lowest. One of the soils experienced extreme drops of pH (3.5-4.0) after glucose addition i.e. gray Hydromorph association, which may have inhibited the methanogenic bacteria activities. Statistical analysis shows that the contents of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>>MnO<sub>2</sub>>SO<sub>4</sub>>pH>silt affected methane production.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains Pertanian

**PENGARUH SIFAT-SIFAT TANAH KE ATAS POTENSI PENGELUARAN  
METANA DARI SAWAH PADI TANAH BASAH DI JAWA**

Oleh

**PRIHASTO SETYANTO**

**Oktober 2000**

**Pengerusi : Dr. Rosenani Abu Bakar**

**Fakulti : Pertanian**

Kajian ini telah dilaksanakan dengan objektif utama iaitu mempelajari pancaran dan penghasilan metana ( $\text{CH}_4$ ) daripada berbagai-bagai jenis tanah di kawasan sawah padi dan menentukan ciri-ciri tanah yang mempengaruhi keluaran  $\text{CH}_4$ . Objektif lebih khusus daripada kajian ini adalah (i) menentukan masa yang paling sesuai untuk persampelan gas secara manual, (ii) mengukur fluks  $\text{CH}_4$  dan jumlah pancaran  $\text{CH}_4$  daripada 3 tanah sawah padi dalam keadaan medan semasa musim kering dan hujan, dan (iii) menentukan keupayaan beberapa tanah sawah di Jawa untuk menghasilkan  $\text{CH}_4$  dari sumber C semula jadi dan sumber tambahan C.

Dua percubaan telah dijalankan untuk kajian ini. Pertama adalah percubaan di ladang. Tiga jenis tanah iaitu Regosol coklat, Latosol merah dan Alluvium coklat tua, telah ditempatkan dalam plot kayu mikro yang lapik dengan lembaran plastik dan ditanami dengan padi variety IR 64. Tanah menerima pengairan berterusan dengan ketinggian air 5 cm semasa musim pertumbuhan. Kebuk “plexi-glass” berukuran 1m x 1m x 1m telah diletakkan pada setiap plot mikro bagi mengukur fluks harian  $\text{CH}_4$ . Perubahan Eh dan pH telah direkodkan setiap 4 hari sekali.

Hasil kajian ladang menunjukkan bahawa pancaran metana daripada tanah mencapai puncaknya pada masa 40 hari sesudah menanam. Pancaran metana berkurangan setelah mencapai tahap pembungaan awal, dan menurun kepada kadar paling rendah sehingga plot dikeringkan. Tiada perbezaan ketara hasil padi diantara ketiga-tiga jenis tanah dalam kedua-dua musim penyelidikan. Aluvium coklat tua (156.1 kg CH<sub>4</sub>/ha/tahun) menunjukkan pancaran metana tertinggi diikuti Regosol coklat (142.2 kg CH<sub>4</sub>/ha/tahun) and Latosol merah (39.6 kg CH<sub>4</sub>/ha/tahun).

Strategi untuk mengurangi pancaran metana ketika mempertahankan atau mempertingkatkan hasil memerlukan maklumat mengenai fluks metana daripada ekosistem dan zon iklim. Sistem kebuk automatik sangat mahal bagi tujuan mengukur fluks metana. Oleh itu, strategi persampelan dengan menggunakan kebuk yang dioperasikan secara manual masih lagi diperlukan. Hasil daripada kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa persampelan gas pada pukul 11.00 adalah terbaik dan tepat sekali bagi pengiraan fluks metana harian (mg CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> hari<sup>-1</sup>)

Kajian kedua adalah kajian makmal, iaitu untuk menentukan potensi keluaran metana dari 11 jenis tanah. Tanah diinkubasi dalam keadaan terendam selama 52 hari, persampelan gas diambil setiap 4 hari sekali dan pada masa tersebut perubahan pH dan Eh tanah direkodkan juga. Tanah dianalisa secara fizik dan kimia sebelum diinkubasi (tekstur, bahan organik, ketumpatan pukal, N dan P total, K, Ca dan Mg tukar ganti, SO<sub>4</sub> total dan tersedia, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> total dan tersedia, Cu total, dan MnO<sub>2</sub> total dan tersedia).



Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa tanah yang dikategorikan sebagai Grumosol kelabu tua menghasilkan metana paling tinggi, sedangkan Grumosol coklat kelabu adalah yang paling rendah. Ada tanah yang mengalami penurunan pH mengejut (3.5-4.0) setelah pemberian glukosa seperti pada Hydromorph kelabu. Keadaan ini dapat menghindar aktiviti bakteria metanogenik. Analisis statistik menunjukkan bahawa ciri-ciri tanah yang sangat mempengaruhi penghasilan metana secara turutan ialah  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 > \text{MnO}_2 > \text{SO}_4 > \text{pH} >$  kandungan kelodak.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my profound gratitude to the supervisory committee, Dr. Rosenani Abu Bakar, Dr. Aziz Bidin, Dr. Che Fauziah Ishak and Dr. Abdul Karim Makarim for their invaluable guidance and encouragement during the course of the experiments and preparation of the manuscript.

I would like to thank the Project Manager of ARMP II (Agricultural Research and Management Project II), Agency for Agriculture Research and Development (AARD), Department of Agriculture, Republic of Indonesia for the scholarship and the opportunity given to me in pursuing postgraduate program at the Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Grateful appreciation is extended to Mr. Johari Sasa, Head of Jakenan Research Station for Food Crops, for his permission to use the experimental field. I deeply appreciate the help received from Miss Suharsih and Titi Sopiawati who helped me managed the data and analyzed the gas samples in the laboratory. Given this opportunity, I also extend my deep appreciation to Jumari, Yarpani, Sudarmin, Suyoto and Suryanto for their help in the field and laboratory activities. Without their help it would be impossible to conduct the experiment.

I also would like to express my deepest thanks to my beloved wife, Andriana Nurvianti, my father Dr. Achmad Mudzakkir Fagi and my mother Aniek Tuti Rochiani for their encouragement, patience, moral support and inspiration given to me during the period of my study. Above all, Allah the Most Gracious and Merciful who gave me the strength to complete the work and made all things well.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on October 28, 2000 to conduct the final examination of Prihasto Setyanto on his Master of Agriculture Science thesis entitled "Influence of Soil Properties on Methane Production Potential from Wetland Rice Field in Java" in accordance with the Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

**Mohd. Khanif Yusop, PhD**  
Associate Professor  
Department of Land Management  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Rosenani Abu Bakar, Ph.D**  
Associate Professor  
Department of Land Management  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Che Fauziah Ishak, Ph.D**  
Department of Land Management  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)


**Aziz Bidin, Ph.D**  
Malaysian Agriculture Research and  
Development Institute (MARDI)  
(Member)

**Abdul Karim Makarim, Ph.D**  
Central Research Institute for  
Food Crops (CRIFC), Indonesia  
(Member)

  
**MOHD. GHAZALI MOHAYIDIN, Ph.D,**  
Professor/Deputy Dean of Graduate School,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date : **13 NOV 2000**


This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and was accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Agriculture Science.

  
**KAMIS AWANG, Ph.D,**  
Associate Professor  
Dean of Graduate School,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: **14 DEC 2000**

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

  
**PRIHASTO SETYANTO**

Date : 10 November 2000

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ABSTRAK.....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>APPROVAL SHEETS.....</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>DECLARATION FORM.....</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES.....</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES.....</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>LIST OF PLATES.....</b>	<b>xviii</b>
 <b>CHAPTER</b>	 <b>Page</b>
1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION.....	1.1
1.1. Objectives.....	1.3
2. LITERATURE REVIEW.....	2.1
2.1. The Greenhouse Effect .....	2.1
2.2. Methane .....	2.3
2.3. Chemical Reactions in Methane Production .....	2.4
2.3.1. Fermentation Reaction .....	2.4
2.3.2. Carbon Dioxide Reduction .....	2.6
2.4. Factors Affecting Methane Production and Emission from Rice Fields .....	2.7
2.4.1. Redox Potential .....	2.7
2.4.2. Soil pH .....	2.8
2.4.3. Soil Temperature .....	2.8
2.4.4. Substrate and Nutrient Availability .....	2.10
2.4.5. Soil Properties .....	2.12
2.4.6. Rice Cultivars .....	2.16
2.5. Process Involved in Methane Emission .....	2.19
2.5.1. Methane Production .....	2.19
2.5.2. Methane Oxidation .....	2.21
2.5.3. Vertical Transport of Methane .....	2.23
2.6. Methane Emission from Rice Field .....	2.25
2.6.1. Rice Field as an Anthropogenic Source of Methane .....	2.25
2.6.2. Methane Emission from Wetland Areas of Asia .....	2.28
2.6.3. Earlier Work on Methane Emission Research from Rice Fields in Indonesia.....	2.30
2.7. Rice Fields in Indonesia .....	2.35
3. METHANE EMISSION FROM THREE SOIL TYPES PLANTED WITH FLOODED RICE.....	3.1
3.1. Introduction .....	3.1
3.2. Specific Objectives .....	3.2
3.3. Methodology .....	3.3
3.3.1. Site Description of Pati District .....	3.3

3.3.2. Soil Collection .....	3.6
3.3.3. Field Preparation .....	3.10
3.3.4. Agricultural Practices in the Micro-plots.....	3.13
3.3.5. Sampling Techniques, Measurements of Methane Flux and Concentration .....	3.14
3.3.6. Determination of Water Soluble Carbon .....	3.18
3.3.7. Determination of the Best Gas Sampling Time .....	3.18
3.4. Data Analyses .....	3.19
3.5. Results and Discussion.....	3.19
3.5.1. Seasonal Pattern of Climate and Methane Flux from 3 Different Rice Soils .....	3.19
3.5.2. Total Methane Emission .....	3.34
3.5.3. Rice Yield, Yield Components and Total Biomass .....	3.35
3.5.4. Relationship between Water Soluble Carbon and Methane Flux.....	3.38
3.5.5. Best Sampling Time in The Day for Manual CH <sub>4</sub> Gas Sampling .....	3.42
3.6. Conclusions .....	3.48
<b>4. SOIL CONTROLLING FACTORS OF CH<sub>4</sub> PRODUCTION FROM FLOODED RICE FIELDS IN CENTRAL JAVA, INDONESIA.....</b>	<b>4.1</b>
4.1. Introduction .....	4.1
4.2. Specific Objectives .....	4.2
4.3. Methodology .....	4.2
4.3.1. Incubation Technique .....	4.4
4.3.2. Sampling of Methane Gas .....	4.6
4.3.3. Chemical and Physical Analyses of the Soils .....	4.9
4.4. Results and Discussion.....	4.12
4.4.1. Capacity of the Soils to Produce Methane from Indigenous Carbon Source .....	4.12
4.4.2. Capacity of the Soils to Produce Methane after Adding C-glucose .....	4.14
4.4.3. Relationship between Soil Properties and Methane Production.....	4.21
4.4.4. Determination of the Controlling Factors of Methane Production .....	4.25
4.5. Conclusions.....	4.30
<b>5. GENERAL DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>5.1</b>
<b>6. GENERAL CONCLUSIONS .....</b>	<b>6.1</b>
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>R.1</b>
<b>APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>A.1</b>
<b>BIODATA.....</b>	<b>B.1</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Microbial metabolism in the reduction process of flooded soils .....	2.9
2.2 Influence of soil characteristics on production and oxidation of CH <sub>4</sub> ..	2.15
2.3 Estimated sources and sink of methane in Tg yr <sup>-1</sup> (1 Tg = 10 <sup>12</sup> g) .....	2.27
2.4 Calculated fractions of annual harvested rice area under cultivation for each country every month and calculated methane emission assuming a constant emission rate 0.5 g m <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> .....	2.31
2.5 Areas of rice harvested per country calculated net primary production (NPP) using Leith's Miami model, and calculated methane emission assuming that 5% of NPP is emitted as methane (Tg = 10 <sup>12</sup> g) .....	2.32
2.6 Detailed calculations of annual methane emission, by country, using rice production figures from IRRI (1990). Assumed a shoot/grain ratio of 1.5, and root/shoot ratio of 0.17, 2-t ha <sup>-1</sup> of weeds and 0.6-t ha <sup>-1</sup> of aquatic biomass in rice paddies .....	2.33
2.7 Wetland rice (sawah) crop calendars in some regions in Indonesia .....	2.38
3.1 Agro-ecology characteristics of each of the <i>Kecamatan</i> in <i>Kabupaten Pati</i> .....	3.7
3.2 physical and chemical characteristics of the three selected soils.....	3.8
3.3 Schedules of field activity of methane emission study involving three different soils (wet season, 1999) .....	3.11
3.4 Schedules of field activity of methane emission study involving three different soils (dry season, 1999) .....	3.12
3.5 Physiological and agronomic characteristics of IR-64 .....	3.15
3.6 Yield and yield components from 1m <sup>2</sup> harvested area of the first and second season measurement of methane flux from three different soils .....	3.37
3.7 Complete sets of the calculated data to determine the best sampling hour to take methane gas sample manually. Data sets used are measured flux from the first season .....	3.46



3.8 Complete sets of the calculated data to determine the best sampling hour to take methane gas sample manually. Data sets used are measured flux from the second season .....	3.47
4.1 Schedules of the activities of measuring methane production potential from 11 soil types.....	4.8
4.2 Methods of analyses of the soil properties.....	4.10
4.3 Physical and chemical properties of soils in Pati District, Central Java.....	4.11
4.4 Total methane production after 52 days of incubation of soils treated without and with glucose.....	4.18
4.5 Characteristics of the selected soils, originating from Luzon, Philippines.....	4.19
4.6 Relationships between methane production and soil properties. The numbering of the equations were classified based on their R-square value, which have the highest significant value (%) under treated and untreated soil condition.....	4.24
4.7 Determination of methane production potential of soils (mg CH <sub>4</sub> /kg soil) using multiple regression consist of three variables (controlling factors).....	4.29
5.1 Prediction of CH <sub>4</sub> emission factor from each soil.....	5.4
5.2 Predicted and actual methane emission using equation from in Table 4.7.....	5.4

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 A simplified diagram illustrating the greenhouse effect (IPCC, 1990) .....	2.2
2.2 Seasonal pattern of (a) methane emission (b) soil pH and Eh from rice field in Jakenan, Pati, during the wet season of 1997/98 (Makarim <i>et al.</i> , 1998) .....	2.9
2.3 The effect of different organic amendment on methane emission on rainfed rice fields during the wet season of 1995/96 (Setyanto <i>et al.</i> , 1996) .....	2.15
2.4 The effect of rice cultivars on seasonal pattern of methane emission from an irrigated rice field (Makarim <i>et al.</i> , 1998) .....	2.18
3.1 Schematic illustration of the microplot and chamber .....	3.4
3.2 Layout of the field experiment .....	3.9
3.3 Experimental sequence of the automatic gas-sampling device.....	3.16
3.4 Seasonal pattern of rainfall during the first season measurements of methane flux from three different soils .....	3.21
3.5 Seasonal pattern of rainfall during the second season measurements of methane flux from three different soils .....	3.21
3.6 Seasonal pattern of temperature during the first season measurement of methane flux from three different soils .....	3.22
3.7 Seasonal pattern of temperature during the second season measurement of methane flux from three different soils .....	3.22
3.8 Seasonal pattern of methane flux from three different soil types during the first season in Jakenan .....	3.25
3.9 Seasonal pattern of methane flux from three different soil types during the second season in Jakenan .....	3.25
3.10 Redox potential changes of three different soils during the first season of methane flux measurements in Jakenan .....	3.29
3.11 Redox potential changes of three different soils during the second season of methane flux measurements in Jakenan .....	3.29

3.12 Seasonal changes of soil pH during the first season of methane flux measurement from different soils .....	3.33
3.13 Seasonal changes of soil pH during the second season of methane flux measurement from different soils .....	3.33
3.14 Total methane emission from three different soils planted with IR 64-rice variety under flooded water condition in Jakenan .....	3.36
3.15 Relationship between water-soluble carbon concentration and methane flux from (a) brown Regosol, (b) red Latosol, and (c) dark-brown Alluvial soil in Jakenan during the second experiment season.....	3.41
4.1 Location of Pati District (a) Central Java, where the experiment on methane production potential was conducted and the soil distribution of Pati District (b) .....	4.3
4.2 Schematic view of the incubation bottle .....	4.5
4.3 Methane production pattern of the soils without C-glucose during the 52-days measurement. The production pattern is divided into three categories; a) low, 2) medium and 3) high production potential .....	4.13
4.4 Methane production pattern of the soils with C-glucose during the 52-days measurement. The production pattern is divided into three categories; a) low, 2) medium and 3) high production potential .....	4.17

## LIST OF PLATES

Plate	Page
3.1 Field situation at 25 DAT during the first season of methane flux measurement from 3 different soils in Jakenan.....	3.26
3.2 Field situation at 25 DAT during the second season of methane flux measurement from 3 different soils in Jakenan.....	3.26

## CHAPTER I

### GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Methane is one of the main greenhouse gases that contribute to global warming. The atmospheric concentration of methane ( $\text{CH}_4$ ), is increasing at the rate of 1% per year, which is more than doubled over the last two centuries. Prior to this, the atmospheric concentration of methane remained fairly constant, at least for the past 160,000 years (Schutz et al. 1989a).

The warming efficiency of methane is 20 to 60 times more effective in trapping heat in the Earth's atmosphere than carbon dioxide (Dickenson and Cicerone, 1986). An increase of 12 ppbv requires an excess of sources over sinks of 36 Tg/yr. Approximately 70% of the total global emission of atmospheric methane ( $500 \pm 100$  Tg/yr) comes from anthropogenic sources, mainly from anaerobic decay of organic matter in rice fields and enteric fermentation in ruminants, and about 30% comes from natural sources, i.e. the natural wetlands. As part of wetlands, rice fields are considered as one of the most important sources of methane emissions to the atmosphere. Estimates of methane emission from this source showed a wide range of 30-100 Tg/yr with an average of about 60 Tg/yr or around 18% of the total global emission.

Indonesian wetland rice fields cover an area of 8.5 million ha (irrigated and rainfed), or about 6.8% of the total world's wetland rice fields. The harvested area of rice in Indonesia in 1993 was 9.81 million ha of which 54% were located in Java

Island. Different estimations of total methane emission from Indonesian rice fields have been reported i.e. 5.8-9.8 Tg/yr by the Japan Environmental Agency and Ministry of Population and Environment of Indonesia (1992), 3.7-4.8 Tg/yr by Bachelet and Neue (1992), 2.9-3.7 Tg/yr by Mathews et al. (1991) as cited by Bachelet and Neue (1992), 3.2-5.8 Tg/yr by Taylor et al. (1991) as cited by Bachelet and Neue (1992) and 6.2 Tg/yr by Shearer and Khalil (1993). These wide ranges of methane emissions were based on extrapolations of methane flux data from other countries (temperate regions) or by an assumption that a fraction of net primary production (NPP) of rice plant is converted to methane. Accurate estimates of methane emissions from rice fields are difficult to calculate due to the lack of experimental data on methane fluxes. In all cases published figures were based on fluxes that were measured from rice fields in specific areas such as in temperate regions and then extrapolated to global environment. This extrapolation, in fact, could give an overestimate or probably an under-estimate of potential methane emission.

Since 1993 research work had been conducted by Indonesian scientists to predict the total emission per annum from Indonesian rice fields (Nugroho et al. 1996; Netera et al. 1995; Lumbanraja et al. 1996; Setyanto and Makarim, 1994; Makarim et al. 1996). Studies were done in-situ and the data obtained were used to extrapolate to a wide range of rice areas using models or mathematical approaches. Yet there were still differences between the estimates of actual emission rates from Indonesian rice fields. These observed variations are due to contribution of many

variables such as soil properties, temperature, agricultural practices, types and rates of fertiliser (mineral and organic) application and water management.

Since the first measurements of methane emission from a Californian rice field (Cicerone and Shetter, 1981), numerous studies have been made and they showed that methane emissions from rice fields are influenced by climate, organic amendments, water regime, rice variety, fertiliser application and soil characteristics. To develop a more accurate estimation of methane emission, the uncertainty of the factors that affect the formation of methane from rice fields needs to be narrowed to have a reliable global methane budget. Soils, as part of the uncertainties, need to be highlighted, because it is one of the key factors, which play an important role in methane production and emission. However data on methane emission from different soil types are lacking when compared to data on agronomic practices.

### **1.1 Objectives**

Improving rice cultivation system in Indonesia is essential in order to increase rice production and maintain national rice self-sufficiency. Environmental, issues related to global warming such as methane emission from rice fields should also be given serious attention. In order to achieve this, intensive study on methane emission, the process of its production and options of mitigation must be conducted.

This study was carried out with the main objectives of studying the emission and the production potential of methane from different soil types of wetland rice fields and to determine the controlling factors affecting the methane production.



## **CHAPTER II**

### **LITERATURE REVIEW**

#### **2.1 The Greenhouse Effect**

The fundamental process driving the climate system is (1) heating by incoming short wave solar radiation, and (2) cooling by long wave infrared radiation into space (Figure 2.1). The average global temperature is determined by the equilibrium between incoming energy from the sun and outgoing energy as heat from the earth. Part of the outgoing infrared radiation is trapped by radiatively active gases, the so-called greenhouse gases, in the lower atmosphere and then re-emitted. This process, generally referred to as the greenhouse effect, adds to the net energy input of the lower atmosphere and thus leads to an increased global temperature. The absorption of radiation emitted from the earth's surface by greenhouse gases has been demonstrated with satellite measurements. In fact, the greenhouse effect is highly appreciated since the mean global temperature of the earth would be  $-18^{\circ}\text{C}$  without the greenhouse effect, making life virtually impossible. The concentrations of greenhouse gases are increasing since pre-industrial times due to human activities. This is likely to cause an enhanced greenhouse effect (Denier van der Gon, 1996).